

as amended and underlined on page 2.

The WAFS has been updated to reflect the following changes:

Approved

Approved

The existing process was modified at meeting #101 (March 5, 2012) to

reflect the following changes:

the following changes were made to the WAFS in 2012, and will remain

\*\*\*\*\*

?

\_\_\_\_\_

10

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

100%


\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_





DEPARTMENT.

<http://www.ams.org/proc-2003-0422-01>

17. B. Signs or brevity content for the following place given the size and capacity of the sign:

<http://www.jstor.org>

#### **What is needed for programs?**

DEPARTMENT:

TELEGRAMS

51

**REGENTS' POLICY  
PARKER - ACADEMIC POLICY**

**CHAPTER 10.06.010. Academic Program Review**

**R10.06.010. Academic Program Review...**

In accordance with 10.06.010 R10.06.010 the minimum of the board review and cause

(1)(b)(ii)

**Program Review**

Program review is a systematic process of examining and evaluating the quality of academic programs.

- b. Meets with degree achievements expected or their students and implements procedures for assessing those achievements. Assessment practices will be coordinated



program or programs or cases were unique to university or a particular statewide system.

C Process

each institution should be responsible for setting an academic program review